



Purpose of Meeting:	City of Roseville Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee Meeting #4
Location of Meeting:	Virtual: Teams
Date of Meeting:	02.13.2023

Steering Committee Members and Alternates:

- Michael Algots**, Manager - Hazardous Materials, Union Pacific Railroad
- Erik Angle**, Emergency Preparedness Program Coordinator, Sutter Roseville Medical Center
- Joe Anderson Committee Vice-chair**, Associate Engineer, City of Roseville
- Dave Atkinson**, Assistant Director, Placer County Office of Emergency Services
- Jeff Beigh**, Emergency Manager/Police Lieutenant, City of Roseville
  - Jason Rizzi (Alternate)**, Division Chief/Fire Marshal, City of Roseville
- George Booth, Committee Chair**, Floodplain Manager, Sacramento County
- Ryan DeVore**, Assistant City Manager, City of Roseville
- Helen Dyda**, Public Information Specialist, City of Roseville
- Gina McColl**, Economic Development Analyst/Associate Planner, City of Roseville
- Kevin McGoldrick**, Support Services Administrator, Kaiser Permanente
- Steve Parker**, Vice President, Roseville Coalition of Neighborhood Associations (RCONA)
- Kinnie Shallow**, Associate Planner, City of Roseville Brian Walker, Floodplain Manager, Senior Engineer, City of Roseville

Coordinating Stakeholders:

- Kevin Kiger**, Electric Safety Coordinator, City of Roseville Electric Department
- Stephen Peterson**, Water Treatment Plant Chief Operator, City of Roseville Environmental Utilities Department
- Mike Simi**, Water Distribution Superintendent, City of Roseville Environmental Utilities Department

Other Attendees:

Rob Flaner, Program Manager, Tetra Tech	Brian Walker, Floodplain Manager, Senior Engineer, City of Roseville
Bart Spencer, Lead Project Planner, Tetra Tech	Dennis Caliyo, Public
Megan Brotherton, Support Planner, Tetra Tech	Jill Aggersbury, Public

Meeting Summary: Overall project update

Item No.	Description	Action/Decision item(s):
1a	<b>Welcome &amp; Announcements</b> <b>1:04 pm</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Roseville – Joe Anderson</li> <li>• Tetra Tech – Bart Spencer</li> <li>• Acceptance of Steering Committee Meeting #3 Summary</li> </ul>	<b>Decisions:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meeting #3 Summary accepted as written               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Joe Anderson made a motion to accept. Jason Rizzi seconded the motion.</li> <li>○ <i>Consensus achieved</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
1b	<b>Public Comment #1</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dennis Caliyo: When is the plan available for review? Can citizen volunteers participate?</li> <li>• A: FEMA requires public participation throughout the planning process. Public can review the plan during the</li> </ul>	None



	<p>public comment period. After the public comment period, the plan will be submitted to City Council for approval before it is sent to Cal OES. This will ensure that there are no delays due to Cal OES and FEMA scheduling. Then the plan will be sent to Cal OES for approval, and forwarded to FEMA for approval.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Jill Aggersbury (public introduction)</li> </ul>	
2a	<p><b>Project Coordination</b> (Bart Spencer)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project Update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Action Item Update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ City updates are nearly complete. Fire has a few actions to update.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Discussion and acceptance of CDC Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The plan will include a spatial analysis of the social vulnerability component.</li> <li>▪ Multiple indices exist for social vulnerability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NRI still uses 2010 Census data</li> <li>• EPA uses 2020 Census, but only looks at 6 social vulnerability factors</li> <li>• CalEnviroscreen does not cover all areas of the City of Roseville, only along major transportation corridors.</li> <li>• CDC is most commonly used. It utilizes 2020 Census data. It is the dataset the State HMP is using. FEMA uses CDC 0.80 in the BRIC grant evaluation process. The state chose to use .70 in the SHMP.</li> <li>• As part of the city’s budget book, Esri and Census data is used for some of the social vulnerability factors. The housing element in the General Plan has policies on how the public is engaged. City webpage demographic info is available on the budget page. State data is used for this overview.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tetra Tech <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Finalize previous action updates with Jason Rizzi and Brian Walker</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Roseville <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ None</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Decisions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Motion to use CDC SVI data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Joe Anderson made the motion to accept.</li> <li>○ George Booth seconded the motion.</li> <li>○ <i>Consensus achieved</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Motion to use .80 for SVI analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Joe Anderson made the motion to accept.</li> <li>○ George Booth seconded the motion</li> <li>○ <i>Consensus achieved</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2b	<p><b>Public Comment #2</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acknowledge the growing ethnic diversity. Available housing is a challenge. Continue to collect city-specific data for situational understanding of social vulnerability for future plans.</li> </ul>	None
3a	<p><b>Hazards Assessment</b> (Bart Spencer)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status update</li> <li>• Climate change impacts and social vulnerability will be considered when analyzing natural hazards</li> </ul>	None



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Community Lifelines are assessed, but the locations are kept confidential</li> </ul>	
3b	<p><b>Outreach and Engagement (Megan Brotherton)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>StoryMap <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Framework has been constructed, but awaiting risk assessment data to complete the StoryMap</li> </ul> </li> <li>Public Awareness Survey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>520 responses to the survey</li> <li>247 indicated that they want additional information on upcoming hazard mitigation events</li> <li>More than 66% of respondents don't know where their home is located in relation to mapped hazard areas.</li> <li>About 56% would retrofit their home if it resulted insurance discounts and nearly 50% would do retrofits if they had free local government technical assistance.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tetra Tech <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul> </li> <li>Roseville <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use survey results to develop mitigation action items.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
3c	<p><b>Public Comment #3</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Q: Are any public comments focused on NERT or CERT? Was outreach done to religious organizations for their members to participate in the survey?</li> <li>A: Several survey commenters asked about establishing a CERT program in the city. City outreach by the city was done on the website and through social media but did not target specific organizations. Roseville has a robust volunteer program that does outreach, but it's not an official CERT program. RCONA has monthly meetings advertised on RCONA.org</li> </ul>	None
4	<p><b>Requests from Committee Members/Additional Comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>	None
8	Adjourned at 2:28 pm by George Booth	